



CITY OF DANBURY
 155 Deer Hill Avenue
 Danbury, CT 06810

Environmental Impact Commission

www.ci.danbury.ct.us

203-797-4525

203-797-4586 fax

MINUTES

March 28, 2007

Common Council Chambers 7:00 PM

Next regularly scheduled meeting date **April 11, 2007**, 7 pm.

The regular meeting of the DEIC was called to order at 7:11 pm (5 present) by Chairman Bernard Gallo.

Present were Gallo, Bruce R. Lees, William Mills, Jon Fagan (left early), Craig Westney (late), and Alt. Kurt Webber.

Absent were Jessica Soriano, Alt. Mark Massoud, and Matthew Rose.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Commissioner **Fagan** led the Pledge of Allegiance at Chairman Gallo's request.

OLD BUSINESS:

113 West King Street

Regulated Activity # 645 R

Frank Hordos/ F.D.J., LLC

Assessor's Lot #B06023, RA-80 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 12/13/06.

Revisions to septic & drainage systems.

First 65 Days: 2/16/07. Second 65 Days: 4/22/07. John F. McCoy, PE, JFM Engineering. Baroody, MM, BM met with engineer 1/31/07 on site. **Extension** letter rec'd. 2/20/07. (See also ZBA #06-93). Danzer's report rec'd. 3/14/07. Report by Baroody received 3/14/07. Additional data requested 3/14/07 by Massoud. Revisions received 3/19/07. NYC DEP watershed comments received 3/28/07. John McCoy identified himself and described what he'll present tonight. Lees said, for the record, Commissioner Westney is now here. John McCoy handed out his 3/28 letter to the Commission describing the reduced impact with the new plans. Since our last conversation, McCoy said, we've talked to the NYC watershed department; you've received their fax today with their comments. McCoy said Mark Massoud felt that we might be inside of the DEP species database, and we are on the fringe of that. We mailed our request to them as of yesterday; a review request form; it's in your package. I don't know how fast they'll turn that around McCoy said. We have revised the plan, McCoy said. I had mentioned that we might be able to shift the septic. We did, and actually changed the type of leaching system, and took it out of the 100-foot buffer area. It's considered a fill system; there is a small portion that is the berm, and that does intrude into the area. I've highlighted in my cover letter some of the comments that Dr. Danzer had made. He talked about the

type of wetlands on the property: the significant wetland is the marsh, toward the north of the property. There is a gentle grade that drops down vertically about two feet to the "shore". Those wetlands that were shown above that are a mixture of wetlands and uplands. The reason he brings that up is because it's key to keep a good buffer here between the marsh, McCoy continued. The revised plan now moves the trenches away farther from the wetlands. Now I would think we need your consultant's recommendations on this new plan. McCoy said, I see NYC DEP has noted four items here, which he discussed: labeling the 100 foot and 50 foot mark. We certainly can add that. Item#2 is the obvious; we would like for the applicant to be able to divide the property. We had a permit once before; we told you why we're here and we told you our long term plans. Item #3 talks about runoff and no mitigation for that. McCoy said, we do intend to do some mitigation, that is, plantings. There is lot of debris and brush and phragmites there now. In addition, we could provide a barrier plus a planting strip. My only caution is that I think there are some benefits to what is already growing there. I will take an environmental landscape architect out there and look at it. Item #4: McCoy continued, again, we do call for various seed mixes; I 'm not sure the uplands will support it, so we will clarify that in our planting plan. I'll answer any questions you may have, McCoy concluded. Chairman Gallo said the last ZBA variance (ZBA 06-93) clearly stated that you could not subdivide further. McCoy replied that variance was for a driveway grade. Since that was granted, the applicant has worked on the driveway, and the result of that work is that about 95% of the driveway is now at 12%. And we now believe we can meet the 12% requirement. It is sort of like the idea that if you need a setback for a house, and you then move the house, you no longer need that variance. It does not reflect on these plans, these revisions. We hope to do a little better than expected out there. Westney asked this is a subdivision, correct? McCoy said it is setting us up to subdivide; the way to go through it so we can sell this house, and ultimately have three lots. Westney said I know when this was sold, it was sold as a six lot subdivision. Westney said I am trying to get an idea of how many lots will be created. The other thing I want to clarify is a house just went up in there; is this that house? McCoy said yes, that is exactly where it is today. We are asking to relocate the septic system, however there is a small portion of berm that needs to be brought in. In our previous application, we were much closer, 76 feet away from the primary. I read his report as that being acceptable, McCoy said. Now that we have done our revision, it's a more expensive system for my client. He's willing to do that: to meet the balance between your concerns for the wetlands and his desire to develop his property. Westney said if you say the septic system was approved in another location; I read Danzer's report that he would approve this relocated system. Westney and McCoy discussed the relocation, no more trenches, a significant improvement. Westney said let me back up: presumably before this house was built the septic was approved. What has led you to be here again? For a here talking about the subdivision, but by that time we would basically have disturbed the swatch. There's no guarantee going through Planning; the back yard of this house is also going to serve as the septic reserve. There are two ways to look at it. If subdivision goes through, or if the subdivision doesn't go through, it's still a better system, McCoy said. It may come across as a little odd. Either way we'd need to get your approval, McCoy said. Westney said then this Commission cannot vote until we know if you *can* make a subdivision. McCoy said, quite the opposite; ultimately you have to vote this up or down. We believe with this final revision we have protected the wetland sufficiently. We think that the old plan was more intrusive into the buffer. Now our plan is less intrusive into the buffer. So, that's why we are here, to try to set up the next step for Planning & Zoning, and let client finish this property off, and sell this house. If he subdivides, then, yes, we'll be back

before this Commission. Hypothetically, we get past this and it gets approved, McCoy said. The next step would be to show a new lot over here, and, since there are wetlands, come before you again at a later time.

Daniel Baroody, RS, MPH, identified himself at the microphone, stating, really, it gets so complicated that I would bring it back to this Board. I wouldn't try to approve it administratively. Again, on this stipulation on his ZBA document (#06-93), staff would like to get an opinion from legal counsel, to see if a subdivision is prohibited by this stipulation. We also need the reply about any endangered species, Baroody said. And we should get a reading from the City planner as well. Mills requested please show us some plans for a planting plan. McCoy said that buffer would be behind, downhill of what we want to develop. Gallo asked are there any further questions? Fagan made a motion to **table**. Mills seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously at 7:37 pm.

37 Ironwood Drive

Regulated Activity # 616 R

Peter & Kristen Schretzenmayer

Assessor's Lot# C11018, RA-40 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 1/10/07.

Deck addition.

First 65 Days: 3/16/07. Second 65 Days: 5/20/07. Revised plan rec'd. from Bob Young 2/16/07. Planting plan requested 2/20/07. 3/13/07 Received 65-day **extension** letter. This item was introduced by Gallo, and he said I don't believe they are here. Lees made a motion to **table**. Fagan seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

60 Shelter Rock Road

Regulated Activity # 739

60 Shelter Rock Associates, LLC.

Assessor's Lot# K15107, IL-40 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 2/14/07.

Parking lot expansion.

First 65 Days: 4/20/07. Second 65 Days: 6/24/07. B.Doto, PE, will meet D. Baroody 3/ /07 on site. Chairman Gallo introduced this 60 Shelter Rock Road application, stating the petitioner is not here. Dan Baroody identified himself, and said I met with the applicant's engineer and we worked out a revision, where he would make some changes, such as change head-in parking to diagonal parking to avoid filling of the wetland. Also they need to flag out in the field, and the Commissioners want to walk it. Lees made a motion to **table**. Fagan seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously at 7:39 pm.

Apple Ridge Road

Regulated Activity # 741

Melvyn Powers

c/o Commerce Park Realty, LLC

Date of Receipt: 2/14/07.

Assessor's Lot # E17072, IL-40 Zone.

First 65 Days: 4/20/07. Second 65 Days: 6/24/07. Medical office building and parking. 2/20/07 received Artel letter to Engineering re: Lake Kenosia Class II Watershed. The site is located in an Aquifer Protection Zone. DEIC wants to visit

the site when it's flagged. Motion to **table** by Fagan. Second by Mills. The motion carried unanimously.

5 Sugar Hollow Road / Marcus Dairy Regulated Activity # 743

Sugar Hollow Associates, LLC Assessor's Lot#G17002, G17019, CG-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 2/28/07. Parking lot expansion, improvements.

First 65 Days: 5/4/07. Second 65 Days: 7/8/07. Artel Engineering Group, LLC. DEIC wants site visit after the site is flagged and snow has melted. Motion to **table** by Mills. Second by Lees. The motion carried unanimously.

79 Federal Road Regulated Activity # 744

E.W. Batista Family Ltd. Partnership Assessor's Lot #L09019,L09029. CG-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 2/28/07. Dunkin Donuts, drive-thru / walk-in.

First 65 Days: 5/4/07. Second 65 Days: 7/8/07. Artel Engineering Group, LLC. DEIC wants to do a site visit when property is flagged. Motion to **table** by Lees. Second by Mills and Webber. The motion carried unanimously.

94-102 Newtown Road Regulated Activity # 745

Danbury – Newtown, LLC Assessor's Lot # M11002, CL-10 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 3/14/07. **Starbucks** Coffee drive-thru / walk-in.

First 65 Days: 5/18/07. Second 65 Days: 7/22/07. Ben Doto, P.E., 9.46 ac. Jon Fagan recused himself. Gallo asked Baroody if he had anything to say. The applicant is not here, Baroody said. Webber made a motion to **table**. Lees seconded the motion, and the motion carried unanimously, with Fagan recused.

15 Hillandale Road Regulated Activity # 748

Elio Ferreira Assessor's Lot # F08097, RA-40 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 3/14/07. SF residence, well, City septic, driveway.

First 65 Days: 5/18/07. Second 65 Days: 7/22/07. R.J. Gallagher, Jr., PE. DEIC wants a site visit. BG introduced this saying I believe the petitioner is here. Jon Fagan is back in the meeting, Gallo said. Elio Ferreira put his plan on easel. Good Evening, Ferreira said, and he identified himself as the owner of 15 Hillandale, residing at Long ridge Road in Danbury. As you recall, I have a dangerous situation on this lot, and Ferreira described the drainage; and it's been digging a ditch and eroding for all these years, so I am trying to get your approval tonight, so that we

can eliminate the danger that exists there. I don't know if anyone had a chance to get out there with that tremendous snow storm. Ferreira said I took a bunch of pictures of the lot, which he handed to Chairman Gallo. Ferreira said you can see there's a tremendous ditch there, and we are here with the same proposal tonight, to control the water that comes down to my lot. At the easel, Ferreira described the discharge, the out of control zig-zag water going on to the property. Next to me, I'll install a catch basin. By the way, there's an easement, a manhole here, Ferreira said, which runs to a pipe to about 15 to 20 feet from the end of the line, to slow the speed of the water. This is a simple solution for this, and it should be done as soon as possible, Ferreira continued. I'd hope that you have the opportunity to look through my application and the review by my soil scientist, stating there is no wetland when it doesn't rain. It's a positive report towards the completion of this application, Ferreira said. I would ask this Commission to approve this, and any engineering details, we can work it out. I will spend my money to do that, Ferreira said. I think eventually the City will have control of this here, and they should. It's a public danger out there. Ferreira reiterated his request for EIC approval. Ferreira asked are there any questions? Chairman Gallo said thank you. Webber had a question for Baroody: I don't know if we can do this; if this is just redirecting runoff, can we move this to Administrative Approval? Just a question, Webber said. Lees said I think he's covering a watercourse, so we decided last week not to move it to Administrative Approval. Lees asked the applicant have you contacted your abutting neighbors, the one in back? Secretary Lee said he does not have to notify the neighbors unless it goes to Public Hearing. Lees and Ferreira discussed the water. Ferreira added anything I do there will improve the whole area. According to my soil scientist, there's no wetland there. I'd like to lay out the pipe, and get it constructed. Excuse me, we are the neighbors, a couple in the audience said; we live behind there, but I understand we cannot speak tonight. Gallo said you can submit a letter. Fagan had a question on the easement that exists: you want to extend that easement, is that correct? Elio Ferreira explained extending the easement on my property 10 feet: five feet on the property to my left, and ten feet on my lot. And the pipe, I could put that right in the middle of the line, but I'm moving it to my property. Fagan said I believe the drainage was improperly installed in the first place. Ferreira said yes, the Danbury Land Records show that all those neighbors have an easement, and the people in the back also have easements. Fagan said it's not being piped correctly, and it's wiping out his lot. Ferreira said we will actually control the runoff from the road once this is done, and he discussed it's effect on neighbors on the right and those in the back. Westney had a question for Baroody. Baroody said I would ask that we set up a meeting with Engineering and the Public Works Department before we can approve anything. Ferreira said I did meet with the director of Public Works, Antonio Iadarola; but I said if I get the City involved, they'll be not enough money, funds, and we'll never get this thing done. I don't know what this will cost me, but I'll spend the money and just fix this, Ferreira said. Maybe they'll end up paying for everything, and that would be great! I want to do this now, Ferreira said. Ralph Gallagher happens to be on vacation, and I'll be sure I get the ball rolling so we can get together. Westney said the second question I had; we are rectifying the existing gully, but possibly creating that same situation for your neighbor. I don't see any calculations that your riprap can handle this runoff water; with the same flows, it will not decrease the volume. You really haven't addressed the loading situation. I don't see that there's enough information, Westney said. (Tape 1 flipped to side B towards end of discussion). In this proposal here, Elio Ferreira said the only thing we can do is to slow down that water. So you'll provide that information, Westney asked? There's more information coming? Good, Westney said. Lees made a motion to **table**. Fagan seconded the motion, and the motion

carried unanimously.

Padanaram Road

Regulated Activity # 749

Cotswold of Danbury, LLC

Assessor's Lot #F07052, RA-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 3/14/07.

57 SF cluster residences, Tighe & Bond.

First 65 Days: 5/18/07. Second 65 Days: 7/22/07. Surveying Associates, P.C. 74.15 acres. Jon Fagan recused himself. Gallo introduced this item at 8 pm. John Canas set up the plans on easel. Don Walsh took mic. Canas set up his own metal easel, or tried to. Mills cautioned him, "Be careful now". Don Walsh identified himself as lead planner for Development Strategies Company, LLC. I'm a resident of Massachusetts, and I work in New York, Massachusetts and the Connecticut area, Walsh said. I am here to reintroduce our new plans. We are here in the first step of this proposal. Joe Canas is an engineer with Tighe & Bond, Walsh said. We did have the advantage of being here before and having staff comments from those applications. Under cluster regulations, Walsh gave a history of the site, formerly Mr. Attick's land. Walsh said, as Mr. Ferreira (EIC # 748) told you, this is a microcosm of what's going on there. If this was a reverse situation, if this was developed now and we said we're just going to put a bunch of pipes out there, you would not have allowed it, but that's exactly what happened with this property, Walsh said. Since it was under tax liens, and Walsh discussed the M-Credit involvement, we bought them out. We are here now as the site owner, Walsh said. So, at the same time, we pulled this application before; there were certain conflicts in there before, and I didn't know about the drainage ditch all to the west. Now I do, Walsh said. The Engineering Department spent a lot of time with us this time. In the end the runoff all comes down to us, onto incredibly sensitive areas; everything above us comes down here, and he listed previous testimony from experts such as Sean Hayden, Steven Danzer, Ph.D., and Scott T. LeRoy, MPH, MS. So what I'd like you to do is to take a look at the wetland functional assessment, and we let go loose in there for 6 or 7 months. That is an important document and really breaks down the areas that we still have to deal with. Mr. Chairman, you were not here before, but we still are willing to donate some land to the Land Trust. The City ended up owning this portion of the area, due to taxes. That has to be dealt with at some time, Walsh continued. You have a lot of erosion and sedimentation, coming from the west. Elio Ferreira said all you can do is slow it up. Walsh said, but you can do a lot more, but you can't do it without money. There's been studies done here from 2000, and the study said basically the State wanted the City to fix it. And the City said they didn't have the money. You're going to lose a significant amount of that wetland unless we come up with a plan on that property, Walsh said. Let's channel it; let's filter it; let's pay for it, Walsh declared. Why that number of dwellings(57)? Walsh said, it just works and keeps it in such a way: no one will see it from the bottom, no one will see it from the top. The Engineering Report (dated 2/28/07), affectionately referred to by my wife as a doorstep, is an overall synopsis of what I've just said and the Dru Associates, Inc. assessment, and I have told Commissioners what order to read the report in. We went through all the testimony exhaustively, so I ask you to look at all that when you have time, Walsh encouraged. I urge you to go out there when you get to it, not now, because of all the mud. Walsh said, I've never been in a situation before where, here, we are the last open space. Here we do have a chance to do something. Walsh continued, yes it's a development, but it may help solve problems, and allow us to develop it as it is zoned. Walsh asked Commissioner Lees, where's your computer? Joe Canas

identified himself and his firm, and went to the easel, describing the vicinity, the existing crossing of Padanaram Brook, the culverts in poor condition. We're proposing two cul de sacs, one off Padanaram Road, and the yellow area here represents the regulated areas. The gray hatch at bottom represents the wetland here, Canas said. You have a full color sheet of this in your report. Then off Eastwood Lane, and for Fire Department access also, we propose more of a pervious surface as opposed to something that's paved. Proceeding southward, Canas continued describing the map. We're proposing 57 units in a location to utilize the existing roadbeds. There will be .03 acres of temporary disturbance, and .924 acres of permanent disturbance. Canas explained why we are connecting service here. This is not a paved road; it's a top soil road, and for the purpose of maintaining that sanitary sewer, we've relocated some of these as per Danzer's letter. That's where the main change took place, Canas said. Also the new box culvert will replace the buckled culvert and provide a more natural channel there. We've included an alternative for the fire road, a storm management plan, calculations, a stable channel, and another big change is the water main. The water now is coming from East Gate, Canas said, connecting here, to improve pressures, and to provide redundancy in the water there. Now, Canas said, I'd like to discuss the calculations to determine that this will not increase peak flow, and we have analyzed several storm events. We're proposing to manage that stormwater to collect and discharge the water in a controlled manner. Canas described the existing conditions without any controls. In 2000 the City had done a report, but their recommendations were not done due to the dispute between the City and the State as to who would fund that work. We propose level spreaders, gross particle separators, Vortech units, a wet meadow area down here, having more pollutants removed in each cell, all to improve stormwater treatment, Canas continued. Gallo asked do the Commissioners Mills said we asked a geoprobe be done on the site before. Has that been done? I'm requesting that be done, Mills said. And as with the last time, I realize the access road will be over 10,000 sq.ft.; there could be a better way to go. How many manholes will be in that road, Mills asked, and has it been reviewed by the fire marshals? Don Walsh, coming back to the microphone, said we did file that last time, as you may recall. They wanted it for a blazer weight. They originally wanted it for a tanker truck weight. I've been a fire fighter for years, Walsh reminded the Commissioners. However, the new water line the City has coming in there provides sprinklers and high pressure hydrants, and Walsh explained how he thought the fire marshal might handle this. This would not be a through road, Walsh said. It is something that I'd rather not do. But, yes we will do that Mr. Mills, Walsh affirmed. I may go to the Planning Department during this, Walsh said. I know it's a sticking point with you, Mr. Mills. Walsh declared, "I'm with you, sir", and he stated how much it would cost. Mills asked Joe Canas about the cuts and fills maps, for anything over five feet. Mills said that's all for now. Walsh said we know you will have more questions, Mr. Mills. Mills said thank you. Daniel Baroody, RS, MPH, Identified himself at microphone, saying. I want to submit for the record my screening report (dated 3/23/07, "Determination of Project Impact"). Baroody said we recommend a plenary ruling with a Public Hearing, and he asked that this be started on May 9th, giving us plenty of time to do our site walks before the Public Hearing. Lees made a motion to **commence the Public Hearing on May 9, 2007**. Mills seconded the motion. Gallo asked any remarks? The motion carried unanimously at 8:30 pm, with Fagan recused. Walsh said, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Lees made a motion to **table** this to 5/9/07. Westney seconded this motion. The motion carried unanimously with Jon Fagan recused. Commissioners then took a 5 minute recess.

NEW BUSINESS:

Route #37 & Stacey Road

Regulated Activity # 751

Acropolis Venture, LLC

Assessor's Lot # G08033, G08102, RA-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 3/28/07.

Glen Brook Estates, LLC.

First 65 Days: 6/1/07. Second 65 Days: 8/5/07. 23 single-family detached cluster dwellings. The meeting reconvened at 8:39 pm and the tape was turned on. Chairman Gallo introduced this item at 8:31 pm, stating the petitioners are here (following the Commissioners' 5 minute recess). Gallo announced recess is over; we're back in session now. Jane Didona presented Proposed Development Plans, and all were distributed to the Commissioners. Jane Didona, landscape architect, with an office at 70 North Street, identified herself and her firm, Didona Associates. She said we are here to present this, called Glen Brook Estates. The total property is outlined in yellow, and she described southern corner of Stacey Road and Pembroke Road. The total acreage is 14.6 acres in RA-20 zone, Didona continued, and the wetland is this brook and associated wetlands here. Our proposal is to do a cluster development, with no structures closer than 50 feet, except for the small wetland. A small clubhouse, paved driveways, curbing, a school bus drop off, a small emergency accessway, and lighting with night sky fixtures are proposed, Didona said. Our disturbance areas are three: first is the road crossing here, 1757 sq.ft. of disturbance with a culvert. Also there's a culvert over here, 300 sq.ft., Didona said, and a small pocket disturbance here. The total is 3517 sq.ft. of disturbance. Didona continued, so our mitigation is to use several methods of storm management, including rain gardens, created small wetland areas, including 19,452 sq. ft. of small created wetland areas, showing the detailed cross section; to create diverse habitat, and mainly infiltration which is the main premise of a rain garden. Also, Didona said, these will act as riparian buffers. We will also put in riparian buffers, using conservation mix, seeded with conservation mix (D-2), and all native plant material, staggering the planting with existing trees in this area. The next area will be the detention areas, here and here. The first line of defense is the vortech unit, and wetland mix, all native wetland species now, and around them, riparian buffers of native shrubs, going to a rain garden here, and this area, used as an infiltration strip, will be also seeded with a conservation mix, Didona said. The trees are street trees: evergreens, deciduous trees, a mix, all native. Didona said we looked at alternatives, which she described. 26 dwellings were not appropriate; 23 were more appropriate. Didona concluded, any questions? Gallo asked if the Commissioners had any questions. Thank you very much, Didona said at 8:47 pm. Mills said, through the Chair, I'd like our staff to do a screening report to see if a Public Hearing okay, I can have that ready for the next meeting. Jane Didona said before you make that motion, we'd agree to do a Public Hearing now, if that would save time, based on your knowledge of the site. Baroody said I think staff needs time to review the plans and determine the amount of impact. Baroody said, or it could also be held for reason of public interest. Gallo said can you report this at our next meeting? Lees asked couldn't we vote now to have a Public Hearing? Baroody replied, if the applicant concedes tonight that there is a significant impact, we could do that tonight; for the purpose of a significant impact a Public Hearing could be held. Baroody said, as a point of procedure, the Commission could go ahead and set the Public Hearing date; we have to make time to walk the site. Gallo said I'd rather do it sooner rather than later. I'd like to set it up for April 25th, if I can have a motion on it, Gallo declared. Lees made a motion to **convene a Public Hearing on 4/25/07.**

Westney and Webber seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously by five (Fagan not here). Lees made a motion to **table** until the Public Hearing date of 4/25/07. Webber seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously at 8:54 pm.

APPLICATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL:

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF ACTIONS:

18 Hillside Road

Regulated Activity #721 R

Jeff & Karla Mortara

Assessor's Lot #108040, RA-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 2/28/07. Detached storage shed. Administrative Approval was done by D. Baroody 3/22/07. Gallo read this approval into the record.

CORRESPONDENCE: (mailed out to Commissioners 3/29/07)

CT Assoc. of Conservation & Inland Wetlands Commissions, Inc. (**CACIWAC**), "The Habitat", Winter '07 Newsletter (see especially pp.7-10).₂

EIC **702**, Cannonball Estates permit transferred to J. Cordeiro 3/15/07.

EIC **746** (moved to Administrative Approval 3/14), 114 Federal Road, Fairfield County Bank: DeMarco Management Corp. does monthly storm drain catch basin inspections & cleaning (in response to Mills' comments of 3/14/07). Mills said I will be down to see that. Dan Baroody said I'll wait on doing the Administrative Approval until Mills has seen it.

Lees asked you are going to contact Corporation Counsel about 113 West King Street, EIC **645R**? We don't need a motion to do that.

Mills said we have six site walks to do, so through Pat, set up dates and times.

EIC ADMINISTRATION & FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Acceptance of Minutes for March 14, 2007, EIC Meeting. Motion to approve minutes as printed by Lees. Second by Mills. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT:

The next regular EIC meeting will be held on April 11, 2007, at 7 pm.

Motion to adjourn by Lees. Second by Webber. Motion carried unanimously at 8:59 pm.