









they would need variances as well as waivers to the Subdivision Regs. He said the constraints are really the Regulations. There is NO development proposed on lot two, only little grading. He said that he had the surveyor prepare a traditional subdivision layout as an alternate although they are not proposing it; he wanted them to see it. He explained that it would require extensive earthwork, so although they could get density in a more traditional manner, it would just be too much extra disturbance. Mr. Nolan asked if they can develop the piece in question or not. Mrs. Emminger said at this time we don't have info about driveway grade, so we can only judge this plan. She reiterated that if they start making changes, they will have to come back to this Commission for another public hearing. They would have to prove they can meet the requirements of Regulations and also would have to go back to EIC. In closing she said under this plan, it would not be developed at this time

Dennis Zancan, 18 Southern Blvd., said he is most concerned about the potential blasting. Chairman Finaldi suggested he go to the Planning Office and read the report since they just got it. Mrs. Emminger then said Mr. Doto would address it after all of the opposition speaks.

Mary Reynolds said she brought plans to show them the creatures that will be displaced if this is allowed to be developed. She asked that any developers in audience consider the environment and wildlife as this proposal is cruel to both of them. She suggested the Commission shouldn't forget that this proposal has direct effect on Tarrywile and all of the citizens of Danbury, many of whom thought that when we purchased Tarrywile, it would be preserved. She questioned why this proposal was kept a secret from the public and the neighbors and asked why the Tarrywile Park Authority is not here speaking in opposition. She said all of the wildlife will be chased from their homes by the blasting and development. These creatures have no one to protect them. She said this development will have a direct impact on all of the properties in the immediate vicinity.

Jim Nolan, 16 Southern Blvd., said he is gravely concerned about a number of issues but not completely in opposition. He said his family has lived near this site all of his life and they are very familiar with it. He said for that reason, they are exceedingly concerned about what is referred to as lot two. He said they have analyzed this and feel it would be really tough to get five lots on this site. He said his Dad used to refer to this area as "billy goat" territory because it is so rough. He said they support the idea of the City to buy this lot, they have met with the applicant and this plan is a move in the right direction away from the previous concept plan. He said the ledge has caused many constraints on the development in this area. He said he would have liked to see the driveways designed differently because this design will access out into a very busy intersection. He suggested they look carefully at visual impact as well as the actual egress onto the road. It will take a lot of blasting to get into the embankment as it is made of tough rock and this is also a delicate aquifer area. In closing, he said this is a tough project and those are his personal concerns.

Ben Doto spoke to address the concerns about blasting. Said at last meeting they did submit a plan that allows a car the opportunity to do a three point turn. He said regarding the design of the driveways, they are that way because of the Regulations. They are hoping to not need to do a lot of blasting. A ten page outline regarding the proposed blasting was submitted to the EIC and also to this Commission, so it is on the record. He said it contains info about the exact details of the proposed blasting. A pre-blast survey will be done within 300 ft. by a geotechnical engineer. He explained what is done and how the neighbors are notified and







