



CITY OF DANBURY
155 DEER HILL AVENUE
DANBURY, CONNECTICUT 06810

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT COMMISSION
(203) 797-4525
(203) 797-4586 (FAX)

EIC MINUTES
August 22, 2007

Common Council Chambers

ROLL CALL: Chairman Gallo called the meeting to order at 7:05 pm. Present were Bernard Gallo, Bruce R. Lees (late), William Mills, Jon Fagan, Jessica Soriano, Alt. Mark Massoud.

Absent were Craig Westney, Matthew Rose, and Alt. Kurt Webber.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mills at Gallo's request.

PUBLIC HEARING:

3-5 Sugar Hollow Road

Regulated Activity # 762

Sugar Hollow Road Associates, LLC Assessor's Lot #G17002, G17019, CG-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 7/25/07.

The Shops at Marcus Dairy, 10.0094 acres.

First 65 Days: 9/28/07. Second 65 Days: 12/2/07. Artel Engineering Group, LLC. Photos, reports from S. Danzer rec'd. 8/21/07. Public Hearing opens tonight, and must close 9/26/07 w/o ext. Chairman Gallo introduced this Public Hearing at 7:08 pm, and read the legal notice into the record. The first item is the Public Hearing, Gallo said. We'll allow ½ hour for the applicant, and ½ hour for the Public.

Neil R. Marcus: Attorney Marcus took the microphone saying this is déjà vu because we did have an application before you (EIC #743) for the crossing of the Kissen Brook, and the Commission did not have a full site development plan. The purpose was to connect the two parcels, and Marcus gave some history of that application. And the Commission at that time felt, Marcus said, what's going to happen to the property ultimately. If you go back historically, that entire area was IL-40 when the Danbury Fair was there. The City made a real decision in the late 70's when they approved the mall. That was not without some controversy, but this has always been used as a milk processing /distribution plant, and a restaurant. The zone was changed when Castro (Convertible) became The Sports Authority. Well, what's going to happen to this property, the Commission asked? And as I'd told you, Marcus continued, I had an architect in Boston waiting to see what would happen with the crossing application. We've now applied to the Danbury Planning Commission, even though its use will remain the same. For this Commission, very little is changed. Marcus described the overall plan, to clean up the site; I just saw some of the pictures from Dr. Danzer's report, and he's correct. You'll see many trucks and pieces of equipment. We will basically decrease the intensity of the use by changing the use of the property. Marcus said the overall concept is to take a site which has been built somewhat haphazardly, and he gave

some 1947 history; so this site is pretty much a filled site, in parts. Anyway, what you'll find is a completely filled site on the south side of the Kissen Brook. The north side is a remnant of the old Danbury Fair parking.

Gallo interjected let record show Mr. Lees is now here.

Marcus continued his discussion of Wilmorite's plans for a detention pond, and the untouched piece of the property. The long term plan is to construct a building on this site. A curb cut is proposed, but the State of Connecticut has to allow that. For traffic distribution, we think it makes sense. For the building that we propose to be a bank, we need to cross the Kissen Brook. We've stayed out of the wetlands. We've bridged the wetlands, Marcus said. The beauty of this plan is there will be a significant upgrade of the existing facility. What you now have is a facility that is pretty much paved corner to corner without any stormwater management. It's been that way for many years. That's the proposal that you'll look at this evening. This Commission has to look at the impact to the wetlands. We're not going to do any harm to the Kissen Brook. The Kissen Brook is basically a drainage ditch. The only place the brook even resembles a brook is behind this area, and we'll clean it up; it will look better, going from an industrial use to a commercial use, Marcus said.

Dainius Virbickas, PE, identified himself and his firm, Artel Engineering Group, LLC. A couple things I want to point out, Virbickas said, just the differences between what it is now, and what it could be when it's developed. He described the plans and photos on the easel, saying he added blues and green, and you'll note a large dark area which indicates all the impervious surfaces on the site as it is currently. Virbickas said it has about 6 1/2 acres of impervious surface on it. The asphalt in some cases comes within 10 feet of the wetland. The asphalt runs right out to Sugar Hollow Road. So there's very little green on this site as it stands. We propose to fix that problem, Virbickas said. We propose to develop it in a responsible manner, which Virbickas described. The impervious surface will then be roughly 6 acres. In addition to adding more green space, we took into account the recommendations of this Commission; we asked what would be a good buffer, and 10 to 20 feet was the answer. Virbickas described the green areas on the second easel on the Grading & Utility Plan dated 7/13/07. Some of the improvements Virbickas described were: the runoff, discharge treatment proposed, series of catch basins, man holes, deep sumps in catch basins, Vortech units; it will be cleaner than what currently flows out. We're collecting it. We're discharging it at two points on the property, Virbickas said. These discharge points are the only impact to the wetlands, and he described the required pipe and riprap. We are proposing to cross via a bridge, with abutments just on the outside of the wetlands, so that we may span it; not like our first culvert application. What we've done is propose a clear span. Virbickas discussed the runoff; the rate of runoff increased a little, so we'll capture water from the roof drains, clean the runoff and route roof drains through a stormwater detention system, and that enables us to control the flow. The site is located with a municipal water line and sanitary sewer serving the site. We're looking to take the existing terminal site, and improve it with responsible development.

Bill Mills said his concern was that on the Backus Avenue side was flood storage; and I believe with that span you're proposing to put in four feet of fill, so tell me the sort of impact that will have to flood storage.

Virbickas explained we designed the site to make certain that we are not taking away any flood plain storage, and he ran his finger across the plan where the flood line is. We graded the site such that the buildings themselves would remain high and above the 100 year flood area, as well as the roads. We compensated for the filling by lowering the western portion of the site and the center of the site as well, using the parking area for this shopping plaza for flood waters. I don't have actual numbers with me, but I can bring them with me next time, Virbickas said.

Mills said I was under the impression that you were going to lower or level off that front part of the site.

Virbickas replied perhaps I didn't explain it well enough. There will still be some relief to the property, controlled via catch basins.

Commissioner Lees said I came in about 7:10 pm. I have a question too. Is there going to be any additional paving to the west?

Gallo asked Virbickas to take the microphone please.

Virbickas said yes, and he showed it on the tip of his pen on the plan; additional asphalt on that portion. And we'll be planting grass.

Lees asked so you will be doing additional paving within a floodplain?

Marcus said what it is is mostly tailings, colprovia that was put on the site, so we're improving that; we'll take out the tailings and put in pavement.

Lees said I'm familiar with the site. I did do a site walk. Lees questioned the tailings limits.

Virbickas explained where tailings end.

Lees said so that will be flagged and I can see it on the site. That's the criteria used, and Lees and Virbickas discussed the west side of the proposal.

Marcus added and that will be landscaped, so we're not taking any grassed areas out right now. Now it's really gravel, pretty impervious; we don't want the trucks to sink. It's been filled and rolled over the years.

Lees asked so it's an existing maintained parking area?

Marcus and Virbickas replied yes.

Mark Massoud had a question on the previous "go round", the buffer size suggested; do you know an average buffer downstream?

Marcus answered there is none. If you walk along the Kissen Brook, you'll find that there are no buffers maintained at the moment.

Massoud said The Sports Authority?

Virbickas said, you know what? I can provide an aerial view.

Massoud said show the impervious surface versus pervious surface.

Marcus said there was no attempt to establish a buffer over the last 60 years; we will upgrade that with a real buffer.

Massoud commended their attempts to make it better. The area adjacent on the east side of the brook; that area that you're attempting to access, it's not shaded in on the existing conditions map. That is now pervious or impervious?

Marcus said that was filled by Leahy's for parking for the Fair. That was closed down in the early 80's. If you go there now you'll just see a lot of invasive species. In Henry T. Moeller's report of 2/10/06, he talks about the whole site having been filled.

Massoud said but it's not paved now; it's been filled with a relatively impervious cover.

Marcus and Massoud discussed the state of the characterization of the land.

Marcus cited Moeller's report again.

Massoud said so should that be colored green to compare impervious surface to non-impervious surface. What's the characterization if that area is in fact in a wild or somewhat natural state? What is proposed as pervious areas as to what exists now?

Marcus said up to about 4 or 5 years ago, we did not even attempt to mow it.

Massoud further described what he's trying to get at: what that ratio is.

Marcus said you're right; the section over there was not colored as it is completely undeveloped.

Massoud said so we can compare apples to apples. Thirdly is the treatment train for the water more for quantity rather than quality? Massoud asked about swirl concentrators and the water treatment train.

Virbickas reiterated the water treatment train: 3 Vortechnic units, their purpose, the discharge from the south side of the site directly into the brook, and some proposed low lying areas to travel through a graveled swale. It's a train just the same, although not a long train. Virbickas described the sediment removal.

Massoud asked and you've performed a pollutant analysis?

Virbickas said we will provide that.

Massoud said my concern is that swirl concentrators are considered a secondary treatment. You are treating the site with all secondary treatment methodologies, as opposed to any primary treatment methodologies. I'd like you to look at and discuss inclusion of some of what the State would consider primary treatments.

Marcus said, Mark (Massoud), you were probably Chairman when the drainage was previously discussed. We will guarantee you that we will meet or exceed the standards of the City of Danbury. We'll take a look at what you required the City of Danbury to do. This is like the flea and the elephant, since most of the discharge into the brook is from the City

of Danbury and the airport.

Massoud said actually it might be helpful if you can show a generalized watershed; give us some idea.

Marcus and Massoud discussed the airport application "etched in Paul Estefan's memory", about 8 to 10 years ago.

Massoud said, finally, it looks like the buildings are up against that 20 foot buffer. Are there provisions for access to those buildings?

Marcus and Virbickas explained the patio is up against the buffer.

Marcus said we'd like to create a "river walk"; it will be a stretch to bring the Brook up to river standards. You have got to buffer it if you're going to put a patio back there.

Massoud discussed when he worked for the City, and that it might be a nice little micro area there.

Marcus said I assume Mark is suggesting we do some enhancement here?

Chairman Gallo said yes.

Marcus said we'll do that.

Massoud continued discussing the need for some clearance around the building.

Marcus said we would have foundation planting around here, some growth, Pachysandra probably; it's low maintenance. We'll come up with something. The proposal here for the shopping plaza, when it gets built, will be very upscale. Anything we do today would be an improvement over what's there, but a tenant is going to want to see gardens.

Jon Fagan said you mentioned the only direct impact to the wetlands is the discharge points. You said you would use 6.5 acres to 6 acres?

Virbickas said the existing number is 5.7 acres (Tape 1 flipped to side B.), and he continued discussing the surface character.

Marcus, Massoud and Virbickas discussed putting that in.

Lees asked is there any mitigation for the loss on the site?

Marcus replied we don't intend to lose any wetlands. We're going to do a stormwater treatment plan that will meet the City standards for discharge into the Kissen Brook. We're going to pre-treat the discharge, and there's never been any. Those wetlands were lost 50 or 60 years ago.

Marcus said can I just finish? Mr. Mills, you asked about flood storage. This site on the northwesterly corner has never, ever flooded in the last 50 years. Part of that, by the way, is that the elevations there have been changed by the City of Danbury. For the mall, they leveled that out. The area to the side of it was changed when they rebuilt Backus Avenue. Even with all these major storms in this last year, the brook never flooded. It just doesn't flood. It didn't even flood significantly in 1955. What I'd like to do is to go back to your letter of denial, the reasons that were discussed by the Commission, the five bullet points; and we tried to address each and every one of those, which he listed. He discussed the Fagan motion to approve (6/27/07) which did not pass.

Gallo said we should not be discussing a previous application. Massoud said, a point of order, we should not be discussing the previous application.

Marcus said if you feel that we've missed anything, please tell us.

Gallo said we have to deal with the application before us, per Corporation Council.

Marcus said that's what we've tried to do. Thank you.

Gallo asked are there any members of the audience who wish to speak for or in opposition to this request? Lynn Waller? Please sign in.

Lynn Waller, from 83 Highland Avenue, identified herself, signed in, and said I really only have two questions. I also wanted to see the plan. My curiosity: I have heard nothing about snow, salt or sand. That could effect what's going into the brook. Also, will this add any flooding to the airport? That's it. I'm not against this application, Waller said at 8:03 pm.

Gallo asked Neil Marcus can we bring that up the next time?

Dan Baroody of the City Health Department identified himself, and said I would like to call your attention to Dr. Steve Danzer's report, and he does not agree with Henry T. Moeller. He is calling it out as different types of soils. And also attached to it are photographs taken in April during the Northeaster, and also the flooded parking lot, well beyond the banks of the Kissen Brook, Baroody said.

Lees said, if you could have in your plan, snow removal procedures, and also a small map

showing where the 100 year flood level is compared to your project; and then your procedures for snow removal.

Marcus replied we're going to do what we do now. It's a truck stop; it's a restaurant.

Mills discussed the difference in opinion between Moeller and Danzer, so if you could have Mr. Moeller go out and do some more borings.

Marcus said I will ask him to be in attendance. I'm suggesting that Moeller and Danzer talk to each other.

Lees made a motion to **table**.

Fagan seconded the motion.

Gall said this is tabled till September 26th; the 12th is a holiday.

The motion carried unanimously at 8:09 pm.

Virbickas said thank you.

OLD BUSINESS:

Padanaram Road

Regulated Activity # 749

Cotswold of Danbury, LLC

Assessor's Lot# F07052, RA-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 3/14/07.

29 SF cluster residences, Tighe & Bond.

First 65 Days: 5/18/07. Public Hearing opened 5/9/07, and must close by 8/17/07.

Surveying Associates, P.C. 74.15 acres. Public Hearing opened 5/9/07. **Extension letter** rec'd. 5/23/07. Site walk on 6/7/07. Revisions rec'd. 6/18/07. Ltrs. from S. Hayden rec'd. 6/25/07 & 7/9/07. Site staked & flagged 7/9/07. Clarifications rec'd. 7/24/07. Maintenance requirements & Alt. D mitigation plan rec'd. 8/6/07. Assessment report by Baroody 8/6/07. Alt.D Conserved Land Area Map & Survey Map rec'd. 8/7/07. Mitigation measures for Alt.D rec'd 8/8/07. Public Hearing closed 8/8/07. Special meeting scheduled **9/19/07**

Wednesday, 7 pm. BG introduced this at 8:10 pm. We're not prepared to make a decision tonight. Special meeting Wednesday 9/19, the room may change.

Motion to table by Lees. Second by Soriano. Fagan said I recuse myself from that. The motion carried unanimously with Fagan recused.

20 Southern Boulevard & 6 Brushy Hill Road Regulated Activity # 755

GRC Property Investment & Development, LLC Assessor's Lots#116238, I17021.

Date of Receipt: 5/9/07.

5 proposed lots, 5.2 acres, RA-20, RA-80.

First 65 Days: 7/13/07. Second 65 Days: 9/16/07. B. Doto, PE. Written comments rec'd. from M. Nolan. Copies sent to S. Danzer 6/12/07. Site walk 6/21/07. Public Hearing opened 6/27/07, continued 7/11/07, 7/25/07, 8/8/07. **Extension letter** rec'd. 7/11/07. Revisions, responses rec'd. 7/20/07. Revised utility plan rec'd. 7/28/07. Report by D. Baroody 8/8/07. Public Hearing closed 8/8/07. *Draft Decision to Approve* by D. Baroody 8/21/07.

Let the record show I've returned, said Fagan. Chairman Gallo introduced this at 8:10 pm. Dan Baroody took the mic, saying you have before you my report.

Gallo asked the Commissioners are there any questions or remarks on the report?

Fagan asked has applicant had opportunity to review your report? I do have one concern on item #13: no Regulated Activity on Lot #2? Is that specific to this? Does that mean that there can be no Regulated Activity ever, or no Regulated Activity allowed in this permit? Pat, amend the condition #13: there is to be no Regulated Activity on Lot #2 without future review by the Environmental Impact Commission.

BM: I'd like to make amendment to condition #9: who should be responsible for

maintenance program? Change it to the homeowners' association, and they must file an annual report to City.

Fagan said a homeowners' association is not always needed.

Mills said maybe we should ask for a clarification. Who will be responsible for the maintenance on the lots? We have two existing houses and two new ones. Can you come up with a clarification so someone is responsible?

Fagan suggested the individual lot owners. And they would be a part of a homeowners' association.

Massoud suggested how about we ask the applicant to identify what will be in place to ensure that the stormwater system maintenance program is periodically maintained.

Fagan said I'll agree and I'll leave that up to Dan.

Mills said I'll agree.

Massoud said something like prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

Fagan reiterated amendment to condition#13: they have to come back to the EIC for any Lot 2 development.

Fagan made a motion to approve based on 13 conditions as amended.

Mills seconded the motion. Gallo clarified with the 13 conditions as amended. The motion carried unanimously at 8:19 pm.

OLD BUSINESS:

110 Long Ridge Road

Regulated Activity # 761

Alice J. Barnes

Assessor's Lot # J22016, RA-80 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 7/25/07. Parcel B. New SF residence, well, septic, driveway.

First 65 Days: 9/28/07. Second 65 Days: 12/2/07. Michael Mazzucco, PE. 2.4 acres.. Mazzucco, at the microphone, said the surveyor is not ready; I will confirm with Pat when the staking is done. Mills made a motion to **table** Regulated Activity # 761. Lees seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

170 Great Plain Road

Regulated Activity # 766

Gary & Keri Baldelli

Assessor's Lot # J06011, RA-20 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/8/07. Screened porch addition.

First 65 Days: 10/12/07. Second 65 Days: 12/16/07. Applicant asks to **table** to 9/26/07 meeting; waiting for survey. Mills made a motion to table this proposal. Fagan said I'll be recusing myself from this application. Soriano seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously 8:20 pm.

40A Payne Road

Regulated Activity # 767

MRF LLC

Assessor's Lots #M13001, M13002, IG-80 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/8/07. Driveway, storage construction equipment & materials.

First 65 Days: 10/12/07. Second 65 Days: 12/16/07. Jon Fagan is back. David Tinker requests to **table** to 9/26/07 for soil scientist & surveyor reports. Mills made a motion to table this. Soriano seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

65-67 Bear Mountain Road

Regulated Activity # 768

Candlewood Pines Cluster Subdivision Assessor's Lot # H03069, RA-80 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/8/07. 14 lot residential cluster subdivision, 119± acres.

First 65 Days: 10/12/07. Second 65 Days: 12/16/07. CCA, LLC. Chairman Gallo introduced this item at 8:21 pm. Steve Sullivan, PE, from CCA, LLC, identified himself, stating I'll have the blasting report by the next meeting, plus the wildlife assessment report, the cut and fill analysis, and we've notified the Town of New Fairfield. I have some maps to give you tonight, and also a staking map. The staking will be done by Saturday, if you want to go individually or in a group. So that's all I have for now. Massoud said I'm entertaining a motion for a Public Hearing on this? Lees asked is there a screening report from the Health Department?

Baroody said I have a question for the applicant; what is the location of the next application EIC # 769?

Sullivan replied down by the water's edge.

Baroody said I would recommend that a Public Hearing should be called.

Fagan made a motion to **move this to Public Hearing**.

Mills seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously at 8:25 pm.

Gallo said we'll have two Public Hearings on 9/26/07. Gallo said we have a month to do a site walk. It will be staked out Saturday.

Sullivan said just let me know when you want to out there.

Gallo said set that up with Dan Baroody.

Winnebago Trail, Candlewood Pines Regulated Activity # 769

Pamela Equities Corp.

Assessor's Lot # H03069, RA-80 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/8/07. Parking, storage building, volleyball court, docks.

First 65 Days: 10/12/07. Second 65 Days: 12/16/07. Lots # 1-5. CCA, LLC. Steve Sullivan, PE, identified himself again. We sent this and the previous application (EIC # 768). to the power authority and the Candlewood Lake Authority. We have an updated soil scientist report by Eric Davison, and there are no wetlands on the site, just the high water mark on Candlewood Lake.

Fagan asked is that staked now, Steve?

Sullivan said it will be staked by Saturday.

Mills and Lees both said we could probably do both on our site walk. Gallo asked are there any questions. Lees made a motion to **table**. Fagan seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously at 8:29 pm.

NEW BUSINESS:

Pembroke Road

Regulated Activity # 770

Roger L. Crossland

Assessor's Lot # G07044, RA-40 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/22/07. Pembroke Day Care Center, 2.5 acres.

First 65 Days: 10/26/07. Second 65 Days: 12/30/07. CCA, LLC. Gallo introduced

this at 8:30 pm. No one is here, Gallo noted. Gallo asked did you hear from anybody, and Dan Baroody replied no. Lees made a motion to **table**. Fagan seconded the motion, and it carried unanimously.

37 & 39 South Street

Regulated Activity # 774

Tony Ribeiro c/o J.A.R. Associates Assessor's Lots# K15083, K15084, IL-40 Zone.

Date of Receipt: 8/22/07. Commercial/warehouse/wholesale/contractor building.

First 65 Days: 10/26/07. Second 65 Days: 12/30/07. M. Mazzucco, PE. Gallo introduced this petition at 8:31 pm. Michael Mazzucco took the mic and identified himself; he said he represents Tony Ribeiro and J.A.R. Associates. The site is located at 37 and 39 South Street. I will just flip to the map. There are now two dwellings on the property. The grade drops from front to back and then levels out, Mazzucco said. It is mostly lawn back there and a little wooded. Mazzucco referred the Commissioners to the 500 foot Driveways Area Map. Massoud asked is this next to Party Depot?

Mazzucco answered there's a sliver of land in between, I think, owned by Moody. Mazzucco described the other maps. Cynthia Rabinowitz, soil scientist, went out there, and there is a detention basin on the Moody property; a wet bottom basin; she looked at it and thought it was a wetland type feature, and we're within 100 feet of that basin. Mazzucco described the proposed removal of the two existing dwellings, and the number of units proposed. Concerning the front portion of the site, Mazzucco described what one would encounter driving up, the parking area, the exit only on the north side, the additional parking, loading area, and getting back out of the site. It is served by water, sewer, and gas, Mazzucco said, so there's no issue there. We do have drainage from the site, and everything kind of swales down. We will pick up that drainage, left and right of the building and around. We don't have anything staked yet. Let me know and I will have it staked, Fagan said the only reason you're here is because of this man-made detention basin off of this property. I question the need for this Commission to review this. Fagan continued I would move this to Administrative Approval, if the other commissars feel the same way. I'll make that in the form of a motion.

Lees seconded the motion.

Massoud had a question for Mazzucco about stormwater detention & pollutant calculations. Mazzucco replied there is less than an acre of impervious surface, so usually we don't do those studies unless it's one acre.

Fagan asked can we move on the motion?

Gallo asked all in favor of moving EIC # 774 to Administrative Approval?

The motion carried with Mark Massoud opposed and four in favor.

Lees said again, if there's any question, I expect Dan would bounce it back to us.

(The applicants/ representatives for EIC #770 Pembroke Road (G07044) stood to leave the auditorium.)

Chairman Gallo said that's already been tabled. Let's have only one person running this meeting, Gallo added.

Secretary Lee said to Gallo don't tell me. Tell them.

APPLICATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL: None.

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF ACTIONS:

53 Beaver Brook Road

Regulated Activity # 773

Date of Receipt: 8/22/07. Redirect road drainage outlet. F. L. Khouri, City Engineer. Administrative Approval by Dan Baroody 8/17/07. Gallo read this into the record.

CORRESPONDENCE:

Gallo read the following two issues into the record:

Invitation to LAKE KENOSIA HEDGEROW PROJECT DEDICATION CEREMONY, Friday, **8/31/07 at 10 am**, with Mayor Mark Boughton officiating.

State DEP Permit # DS-200700052, 8/13/07, WCI Communities, Inc., Reserve Road, Phase 4A, dams at Detention Basin "B" and Detention Basin "C"

EIC ADMINISTRATION & FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

(No discussion.)

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES: 7/25/07 Meeting: motion to approve by Lees. Second by Fagan. The motion carried unanimously. 8/8/07 Meeting: Motion to approve by Lees. Second by Soriano. The motion carried unanimously at 8:42 pm.

ADJOURNMENT: Motion to adjourn by Mills. Second by Soriano. The motion carried unanimously at 8:42 pm.

NOTES: Special EIC Meeting scheduled **September 19, 2007, Wednesday, 7 pm**, 3rd Floor Work Room, for EIC # **749**, Cotswold of Danbury, LLC.

The next regularly scheduled DEIC meeting date is **September 26th, 2007, 7 pm**.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia M. Lee, Secretary